·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/529,379	VAN DER HEIJDEN ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christian La Forgia	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Il claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS erewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL- OTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATEN f the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.	S IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 85) or other appropriate commun TRIGHTS. This application is su	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>	
This communication is responsive to <u>21 October 2004</u> .			
The allowed claim(s) is/are 38-43,45,46 and 48-59			
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	the Examiner.		
. ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:		· (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	in this national stage application from the	
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:		·	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DAT noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDC THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements	
A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be su INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which	ubmitted. Note the attached EXAI gives reason(s) why the oath or	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.	
CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets")	must be submitted.		
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Drafts	person's Patent Drawing Review	( PTO-948) attached	
1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date	·		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date .	ner's Amendment / Comment or i	n the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CF each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such			
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deattached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREME			
ttachment(s)			
ttachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		mmary (PTO-413),	
☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	Paper No./N	Paper No./Mail Date 7.	
Paper No./Mail Date  Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	sit 8. ⊠ Examiner's S	Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
of Biological Material	9.		
		El Toise Francisco	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The After Final amendment filed on 21 October 2004 is noted and made of record.

- 2. Claims 1-61 have been presented for examination.
- 3. Claims 1-37, 44, 47, 60, and 61 have been cancelled as per Applicant's request.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 38-43, 45, 46, and 48-59 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per claims 38-49, 52, 53, 58, and 59, it is well known in the art for a communication apparatus to comprise of messages, processing means, and a database, wherein the processing means and the database arranged for point-to-point communication with another communication apparatus by means of the messages, wherein the messages have flexible message formats and comprise, a header comprising message definition references, a sender identifier, and a destination address, and a message content that includes a number of fields and a content of any field, a number of objects and a content of any object, the objects being referred to by one or more of the fields, a number of fields mappings and a content of any field mapping, any field mapping being usable by predetermined fields, a number of actions and a content of any actions, any action being usable by the predetermined fields, wherein, the database stores a predetermined message definition table, a field definition table, mapping instructions, and message action lists, and the processing means is arranged to interpret and process the messages while consulting said predetermined message definition table, mapping instructions and message action lists stored in said database using said message definition references as references to said predetermined message definitions, the predetermined message definition table comprises a

message system identifier for use as a reference as a reference to further tables in said database, the further tables comprise a field action table comprising said message action lists usable by predetermined fields.

There are no teachings in the prior art of tables that comprise a message pre-processing table comprising a list of actions to be executed as pre-processing for a message either received or to be send and a message post processing comprising a list of actions to be executed as post-processing for a message received. Since no teachings or motivation can be found of tables that comprise a message pre-processing table comprising a list of actions to be executed as pre-processing for a message either received or to be send and a message post processing comprising a list of actions to be executed as post-processing for a message received, claims 38-49, 52, 53 and 58 are therefore novel and non-obvious.

As per claims 50, 51, 56, and 57, it is well known in the art for a communication apparatus to comprise of messages, processing means, and a database, wherein the processing means and the database arranged for point-to-point communication with another communication apparatus by means of the messages, wherein the messages have flexible message formats and comprise, a header comprising message definition references, a sender identifier, and a destination address, and a message content that includes a number of fields and a content of any field, a number of objects and a content of any object, the objects being referred to by one or more of the fields, a number of fields mappings and a content of any field mapping, any field mapping being usable by predetermined fields, a number of actions and a content of any actions, any action being usable by the predetermined fields, wherein, the database stores a predetermined message definition table, a field definition table, mapping instructions, and

message action lists, and the processing means is arranged to interpret and process the messages while consulting said predetermined message definition table, mapping instructions and message action lists stored in said database using said message definition references as references to said predetermined message definitions, the predetermined message definition table comprises a message system identifier for use as a reference as a reference to further tables in said database, the further tables comprise a field action table comprising said message action lists usable by predetermined fields.

There are no teachings in the prior art of the message definition table comprising an application field for indicating whether a message received is a first message of an application and an application name field for referring to a name of said application, in order to define the application as a collection of data messages and their associated actions. Since no teachings or motivation can be found of the message definition table comprising an application field for indicating whether a message received is a first message of an application and an application name field for referring to a name of said application, in order to define the application as a collection of data messages and their associated actions, claims 50, 51, 56, and 57 are therefore novel and non-obvious.

As per claims 54 and 55, it is well known in the art for a communication apparatus to comprise of messages, processing means, and a database, wherein the processing means and the database arranged for point-to-point communication with another communication apparatus by means of the messages, wherein the messages have flexible message formats and comprise, a header comprising message definition references, a sender identifier, and a destination address, and a message content that includes a number of fields and a content of any field, a number of

objects and a content of any object, the objects being referred to by one or more of the fields, a number of fields mappings and a content of any field mapping, any field mapping being usable by predetermined fields, a number of actions and a content of any actions, any action being usable by the predetermined fields, wherein, the database stores a predetermined message definition table, a field definition table, mapping instructions, and message action lists, and the processing means is arranged to interpret and process the messages while consulting said predetermined message definition table, mapping instructions and message action lists stored in said database using said message definition references as references to said predetermined message definitions, the predetermined message definition table comprises a message system identifier for use as a reference as a reference to further tables in said database, the further tables comprise a field action table comprising said message action lists usable by predetermined fields.

There are no teachings in the prior art of processing means arranged to either merge a message received with a designated HTML file or if the designated HTML file is not found by the processing means, to create a default dynamic HTML file. Since no teachings or motivation can be found of processing means arranged to either merge a message received with a designated HTML file or if the designated HTML file is not found by the processing means, to create a default dynamic HTML file, claims 54 and 55 are therefore novel and non-obvious.

6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.

- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian LaForgia Patent Examiner Art Unit 2131

clf

ENDONUELL MOISE PARTLEY EXCENTED A/U 2/36